American Bar Association Taxation Section Criminal and Civil Tax Penalties Committee May Meeting 2019

Important Developments: Criminal

Sara Neill¹ & Evan Davis²

1. The IRS remains serious about criminal employment tax cases.

Four days before filing season ended, the IRS issued a press release highlighting that employers cheat on employment taxes at their peril.

After laying out why the IRS cares – 72% of revenue collected by the IRS comes via employment taxes – Commissioner Chuck Rettig and others touted 50 law enforcement actions related to employment tax crimes. In what prosecutors and agents call a "sweep," in the preceding two weeks AUSAs and DOJ Tax Division prosecutors indicted 12 individuals, executed four search warrants, and saw six defendants sentenced for employment tax crimes. Given how few tax prosecutions are occurring (see below), this emphasis demonstrates that Commissioner Rettig is continuing his predecessor's emphasis on employment tax crimes to increase the compliance rate.

DOJ Tax Division press releases in recent months confirm the joint emphasis on employment tax prosecutions, at least in the South. On March 22, 2019, a North Carolina health executive was sentenced to 30 months' imprisonment for failing to report and pay over employment taxes from 2010 to 2013. A week later, two Louisiana residents were charged with a typical pair of crimes: harboring undocumented alien workers by paying them cash wages under the table, and employment tax crimes for failing to report the under-the-table wages. On the same day, a husband-wife pair were indicted for payroll tax fraud in Alabama for allegedly having paid vacation home and other personal expenses instead of remitting the withheld amounts. Finally, on March 7, 2019, a Florida CPA was sentenced to 39 months' incarceration for failing to pay employment taxes along with other tax crimes involved with his personal tax returns and assessments.

¹ Sara Neill is a shareholder at Capes Sokol in St. Louis, where she chairs the Tax Controversy and Litigation practice group. She represents clients in sensitive civil tax matters and in criminal tax investigations and prosecutions. Sara co-teaches a class on Tax Fraud Prosecutions in Washington University Law School's Tax LL.M. program.

² Evan Davis has been a principal at Hochman Salkin Toscher Perez, P.C. since October 2016, after spending nearly 20 years with the Department of Justice. He litigated civil tax matters for the DOJ Tax Division for 7.5 years, then litigated civil and criminal tax matters for the USAO C.D. Cal. for three years, and white-collar cases for the Major Frauds Section of the CD Cal. Criminal Division for eight years.

2. District court says Marinello inapplicable to 18 U.S.C. Sec. 371 conspiracies.

We noted in an earlier CCTP presentation that a defendant was arguing that *Marinello* applied equally to the general conspiracy statute, 18 U.S.C. Sec. 371, because both statutes include a similar element: "to obstruct the lawful function of the government" for Sec. 371, versus "obstructs or impedes, or endeavors to obstruct or impede, the due administration of the" IRC. *United States v. Parlato*, W.D.N.Y. Case No. 1:15-cr-149, decided 03/01/2019.

In the March 1 order, the district court upheld the magistrate judge's R&R that distinguished Section 371 from Section 7212 by noting that Section 371 prohibits obstructing the lawful function of the government, whereas Section 7212 is focused on obstructing the due administration of the Tax Code. To many, this would seem to be a distinction without a difference, but the R&R that the district court adopted also noted that Section 371 was not as broad as Section 7212 and, therefore, did not raise the same overbreadth concerns that drove the Supreme Court to impose a nexus requirement in *Marinello*.

The district court and magistrate court decisions are somewhat perfunctory. It would seem to be worth a defendant raising this issue again in the right situation, such as where a Section 371 charge apparently was brought in lieu of a Section 7212 charge because the government could not satisfy the nexus requirement.

3. Eighth Circuit says *Marinello* added two elements to Section 7212(a)'s omnibus clause.

In 2009 and 2010, Beckham allegedly prepared and filed tax returns for the owner of a financial advisory firm, JM Horseman group. Beckham allegedly induced the owner, Horseman, to participate in a tax-loss scheme designed to offset Horseman's taxes. Horseman was audited and Beckham represented him as the power of attorney. During the audit, the IRS Revenue Agent asked for certain information from Horseman including his day planner which was allegedly falsified. The Revenue Agent determined there to be firm indications of fraud and referred Horseman to criminal investigation. At some point, IRS-CI shifted the focus of its investigation to Beckham.

Beckham was indicted for three counts of section 7206(2) and one count of section 7212(a). The section 7212(a) charge included approximately 12 alleged corrupt acts, some of which, if true, would have occurred prior to the IRS audit and some would have occurred during the IRS audit. Beckham pled not guilty and proceeded to trial.

Sometime after Beckham was indicted, but before the case was tried, the Supreme Court granted certiorari in *U.S. v. Marinello*, 839 F.3d 209 (2d Cir. 2016) cert. granted----U.S.---, 137 S.Ct. 2327, 198 L.Ed.2d 755 (2017), to resolve a circuit split over whether Section 7212(a) requires a defendant to know about a pending IRS proceeding when he engages in purportedly obstructive

conduct. Beckham filed a motion to stay trial while *Marinello* was pending. The Government objected and the district court, agreeing with the Government, decided that the issue could be handled through the use of a special verdict form whereby the jury would be asked to specifically find which of the alleged corrupt acts occurred to convict Beckham of section 7212(a).

Beckham objected to the section 7212(a) instruction proposed by the Government because it did not require the jury to find that Beckham knew about the IRS audit at the time of the alleged corrupt acts. According to the opinion, Beckham did not specifically object to the language of the special verdict form. The district court overruled Beckham's objection.

The jury acquitted Beckham of the three section 7206(2) charges, but found him guilty of violating section 7212(a). On the special verdict form, of the approximately 12 alleged corrupt acts charged, the jury marked only one box finding that Beckham had submitted Horseman's day planner to the IRS.

After being sentenced, Beckham appealed. He argued, among other things, that the district court failed to properly instruct the jury as to the *Marinello* elements and the special verdict form did not cure the error. The government conceded that the jury instruction was erroneous, but argued that the special verdict form did cure the error and even if it did not, the error was harmless.

The Eighth Circuit held: "Marinello thus added two elements—a nexus and knowledge of a currently-pending or reasonably-foreseeable proceeding—that this Court did not previously require." *Beckham*, No. 18-1406, slip op. at 6 (8th Cir. Mar. 8, 2019) (published but not yet reported).

The court explained that whether the instructional error was harmless depends on whether overwhelming evidence supports finding (1) that there was a nexus between Beckham's actions during the IRS audit; and (2) that Beckham knew or should have known about the audit when he committed some corrupt act.

The court said the evidence was undisputed that the day planner was given to the IRS by Beckham. Thus, the court found that no rational juror could find that Beckham did not give the day planner to the IRS and therefore, the instruction error was harmless as to the nexus requirement.

Likewise, the Court found the evidence to overwhelmingly show that Beckham knew of a currently-pending IRS audit at the time he gave the day planner to the Revenue Agent. Thus, because no rational juror could find that Beckham was unaware of the pending audit at the time the IRS received the day planner, the instruction error was harmless.

The conviction was affirmed.

4. Monthly Tax Prosecution Numbers Rebounding?

Not surprisingly, each year tax prosecutions spike in March and April, both because statutes often expire in April and because the IRS and Department of Justice Tax Division like to remind taxpayers about the consequences of cheating while the same taxpayers are preparing their returns.

Syracuse University tracks monthly tax prosecution numbers and has data going back 15 years. https://trac.syr.edu/tracreports/bulletins/tirs/monthlyfeb19/fil/ The data show the aforementioned spike every year along with a year-over-year spike in 2013, which represents the high-water mark in tax prosecution during the 15-year period.

Since 2015, the trend has been sharply downward, with prosecutions dropping by 50% between 2015 and 2019. However, in comparison to 2007-2009, the decline is not as sharp. The data do not identify the type of tax case being brought, but the 2013-2015 high-water mark is due in large part to the high-volume, relatively low-effort identify theft cases that were prevalent during that period. As noted in the January update, the IRS has shifted away from these cases and back to core tax investigations, so we should expect numbers to decline because of this shift.

With all this in mind, it appears the number of tax prosecutions is finally beginning to rebound from the 15-year lows set in 2018, with the past two months showing strong increases from the same months in 2018. The March and April numbers will show whether the recent months are anomalous, or whether the agency has turned a corner.

5. In March 2019, the IRS released the revised Form 14457 for use when requesting preclearance and participating in voluntary disclosure program.

As everyone is undoubtedly aware at this point, back in November 2018, the IRS issued a memorandum outlining its updated voluntary disclosure practices in domestic and offshore matters. The IRS promised, at that time, to release a revised Form 14457 for use when requesting pre-clearance into the voluntary disclosure program, as well as for use when the voluntary disclosure is submitted. The Form was released in March 2019 and a copy is attached to these materials.

6. Tax return preparer's appeal to the Eleventh Circuit unsuccessful despite her argument there was no evidence that she actually prepared tax returns.

Though not a particularly significant decision from a legal standpoint, the *McConico* opinion contains facts that we found interesting. *U.S. v. McConico*, No. 18-11189, 123 AFTR 2d 2019-XXXX (11th Cir. Apr. 9, 2019).

A federal jury convicted McConico of 17 counts of assisting in the preparation of false tax documents. On appeal to the Eleventh Circuit, McConico argued the evidence was insufficient to support her convictions.

According to the opinion, the IRS began investigating McConico after finding some "oddities" during an audit of a married couple, the Woffords. The Woffords identified McConico as their tax preparer.

The IRS's investigation uncovered several red flags including: all of the returns filed from McConico's IP address claimed refunds; about 90% claimed education credits; and over 90% had businesses associated with them.

An undercover agent contacted McConico and McConico told the agent he would need a "trusted referral to get in", stated that she was "not looking for any wolves in sheep's clothing", and assured the agent she knew what she was talking about, having previously worked for H&R Block, Jackson Hewitt, Liberty Tax, and even the IRS. Several taxpayers identified McConico as being their preparer.

Later, when an IRS agent went to visit McConico, not undercover, McConico told the agent she had no tax training besides a single class in the 1990s, that she had never worked for another tax preparation business or the IRS, and stated that she did not prepare returns. She denied preparing the Woffords' taxes. McConico claimed her office taught people how to set up businesses and people could come to her business to do their taxes themselves.

Subsequently, the IRS executed a search warrant at McConico's home and located W-2s, driver's licenses, and social security information. A laptop containing thousands of tax returns was seized from the top of McConico's bed.

McConico was indicted on seventeen counts of assisting in the preparation of fraudulent tax returns. She pleaded not guilty and proceeded to trial. Her first trial ended in a mistrial. After the second, McConico was convicted of all seventeen counts.

On appeal, McConico argued that there had been no evidence that she filled out or sent in a single tax return and someone else could have submitted the returns. She also argued her lies about her tax expertise were merely boasting, that the documents in her home belonged to someone else, that other people had access to the bank accounts where the taxpayer refunds went, and that the computer on her bed was not hers.

The Eleventh Circuit found that a reasonable juror could have relied on the evidence against McConico to find her guilty. And that the jury quite reasonably could have inferred a simpler explanation—that all of the evidence pointed to McConico because she was actually guilty. The court, unsurprisingly, affirmed McConico's conviction.

Form **14457** (March 2019)

Department of the Treasury-Internal Revenue Service

Voluntary Disclosure Practice Preclearance Request and Application

OMB Number 1545-2241

Note: Use Part I of this form to make a preclearance request to determine whether you are eligible to use the voluntary disclosure practice. Only submit Part 1 of this form for preclearance. If you receive preclearance, proceed with submitting Part II to request preliminary acceptance. Submitting the information requested in Part I of this form does not guarantee acceptance. All answers and attachments must be in English.

Fax Number: 267-466-1115

Mailing Address: Internal Revenue Service

Attn.: Voluntary Disclosure Coordinator

2970 Market Street

1-D04-100

Philadelphia	, PA 19104		-			
Part I - Preclearance Reque	est (Mail or FAX Part I Only to A	bove)				
1. Part I submission date 2. F	Person submitting disclosure <i>(check</i> Individual(s)	k box that applies) Corporation Trus	st Executor			
3. Disclosure special features (d	heck all that apply)					
☐ Domestic Issues	Estate & Gif	t Issues	ual Currency Issues			
Offshore Issues	Employment	t Tax Issues Othe	er Issues (briefly describe)			
Briefly describe issue						
		·				
4a. Taxpayer name		b. Identification number (SSN/ITI	N/EIN) c. Date of birth			
d. Telephone number	e. Number, street, and room o	r suite number				
f. City or town	g. State or province	h. ZIP/Foreign postal code	i. Country			
j. Occupation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	k. Passport information (list all passport numbers and countries)				
5a. Spouse name (if joint disclose	ure)	b. Identification number (SSN/ITI	(N) c. Date of birth			
d. Telephone number	e. Number, street, and room o	r suite number (complete 5d through t	5i if different than 4d through 4i)			
f. City or town	g. State or province	h. ZIP/Foreign postal code i. Country				
j. Occupation		k. Passport information (list all passport numbers and countries)				
6a Representative's name (attac	ch Form 2848, if applicable)	Check if no representative	b. Telephone number			
c. FAX number	d. Number, street, and room o	or suite number				
e. City or town	f. State or province	g. ZIP/Foreign postal code	h. Country			
	s, partnerships, etc.) for which you hese entities will be required in Pa	are making a disclosure, include E art II of this application	IN if applicable. Further			
		<u> </u>				
8. Do you believe that the IRS h	as obtained information concernir	ng your tax liability	Yes No			
If "Yes," specify						

Disclose if you, your spouse or or any other law enforcement at	any related entities are currently uthority and if any income is sour				ation b	y the	Interna	l Reve	enue S	ervice
			Taxpayer		Spouse		9	Related E		ıtities
	•		Yes	No	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	No	N/A
Has the IRS notified you, your spouse or any related entities that it intends to commence an examination or criminal investigation										
b. Are you, your spouse or any rel Internal Revenue Service										
c. Are you, your spouse or any relative and the second sec	ated entities under criminal inves	tigation by any								
d. Do you, your spouse or any rela										
If "Yes" to any, explain			,	,			•		·	
							:			
		•								
10. Schedule of financial accounts	3									
 Disclose all account numbers Organize the account nunlisted once. Account holders must mandisclosed in more detail in If an account is closed, m Detailed Example: John S Jane S Joint (A ABC L 	les will be further identified in Par held at each financial institution. hers in order of who held the ac- tch the disclosing taxpayer(s) from Part II after pre-clearance is rec- ark it with a "(CL)" after the accounties accounties at 2023245454534, 3423456 mith – 3423233443232, 5231245 John and Jane Smith) – 2312452 td – 3433423343344	count. Jointly held m Lines 4 and 5, c eived unt number. 345454 (CL), 3423 523123 3163,2345323232	accou or an e	ntity o						•
Financial institution name (complet	e bank legal name, including all suffix	es (e.g., Inc., A.G.,	S.A., e	tc.), DB	As and	pseud	onyms)			
Telephone number	Number, street, and room or su	ite number			·-···	_				-
City or town	State or province	ZIP/Foreign pos	stal co	de .	. 0	ountry	,			
Accounts numbers				-						
Important:			•							·

- CI will provide a case control number that is required to submit Part II.
- Only submit Part II and attachments after preclearance is received.
- Do not send returns and/or remit payments with this form. No returns or payments will be accepted by IRS-Criminal Investigation.
- Complete all fields. If you cannot complete a field, attach a statement explaining why.
- Wait for contact from IRS examiner who will request additional documentation.

Part II - Vo	luntary Disclosure (Mail or F	AX Part II to	Address	or FAX Num	ber on P	age 1)					
Taxpayer name			Identification	n number Telepho		relepho	one number				
Spouse name (if joint disclosure)			Identification	ation number Teleph			none number				
Representat	ive's name (attach Form 2848, if ap	plicable)		Check if	Check if no representative			one number			
1. Part II sul	omission date			Case control number (required from preclearance approval)							
3. Identify th	e source of funds <i>(check all that a)</i> urce Foreign source	oply and explain	•	Gift/Inhe	ritance	☐ Virtual (Currency	у 🗆	Other		
territory (e or the U.S	e disclosure period, have you tak e.g., American Samoa, the Comn S. Virgin Islands) or did you file an the territory and tax years	nonwealth of t	the North	ern Mariana Is	slands, G		Rico,	Yes	1	No	
5. Provide e	stimated total annual unreported xchange rates AND provide the most	d income duri recent 6 years	ing the di	sclosure perio e noncompliano	d (for field e was for	ls 5 and 6, inp a shorter perio	ut all ame	ounts in	U.S. E	ollars u	using
Tax year	Unreported income	Tax year	Unrepo	orted income Tax year Unre			eported income				
Tax year	Unreported income	Tax year	Unrepo	orted income Tax year Unre			Unre	eported income			
6. Provide e	_! stimated annual range of the hig	nest aggregat	e value o	f your offshore	e holding	S (Offshore Is	sues Or	nly)			
Tax year	Tax year Highest aggregate account/Asset value Tax year Highest aggregate account/Asset value										
Tax year	Highest aggregate account/As	set value	-	Tax year Highest aggregate account/Asset value							
Tax year	ar Highest aggregate account/Asset value Tax year Highest aggregate account/Asset value										
7. Offshore	l issues only <i>(check appropriate boxe</i>	es)									
						Taxp Yes		Spo Yes	,		
offshore a	ne, including a foreign governme account records, which are the su er to the U.S. Government pursu	ıbject of this v	oluntary ·	disclosure, we	dvised yo	u that your ptible to bein	ıg		No	les	No .
b. If 7a is "Yes," did you or anyone on your behalf submit documents in opposition											
c. If 7b is "Yes," were copies of those documents provided to the Attorney General of the United States as required by 18 USC §3506											

- 8. Schedule of entities
 - List <u>ALL</u> entities you owned or controlled or were the beneficial owner of, either directly or indirectly for which you reported noncompliant financial accounts in the Part I preclearance request.
 - The listings must cover the entire disclosure period as outlined in the <u>Updated Voluntary Disclosure Practice Memorandum</u> dated November 20, 2018.
 - Click "Add Entity" button below for additional entities.

Entity 1								
Entity name (including all DBAs and pseudonyms)		Identification number	Country of incorporation					
Telephone number	Number, street, and roon	n or suite number	<u> </u>					
City or town	State or province	ZIP/Foreign postal code	Country					

9. Narrative (Your narrative must truthfully and fully explain your noncompliance from inception to the present. Organize the narrative with the following headings: (1) Noncompliance, (2) Taxpayer Background and (3) Professional Advisors. "Noncompliance" must include a complete and thorough discussion of all Title 26 and Title 31 failures to report income, pay tax, and submit all required information returns and reports. Address the source of all unreported income. Explain the use of nominees, alter egos, and any other methods used to conceal your noncompliance. Completely identify all entities involved in your noncompliance. "Taxpayer Background" must include all aspects of personal and professional history. "Professional Advisors" must include complete details on attorneys, accountants, financial planners, private bankers, etc. that rendered services to you from the inception of the noncompliance to the present, regardless of their connection to or knowledge of your noncompliance. If your disclosure involves offshore issues, provide a complete story about your foreign financial assets. The field below will automatically expand to accommodate your narrative.)

Note: You must provide specific facts on this form or on a signed attachment providing a complete story about your tax fraud and willful noncompliance. Any submission that does not contain a narrative statement of facts will be considered incomplete.

By signing this document, I certify that I am willing to continue to cooperate with the Internal Revenue Service, including in assessing my income tax liabilities and making good faith arrangements to pay all taxes, interest, and penalties associated with this voluntary disclosure.

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have examined this document and accompanying schedules and statements and to the best of my knowledge and belief, they are true, correct, and complete.

Signature of taxpayer		Name (print/type)	Date
	•		
Signature of taxpayer's spouse		Name (print/type)	Date

Signature must be original (must be mailed), preferably in blue ink. Photocopied, facsimile, or electronic signatures are not acceptable. The power of attorney may not sign the voluntary disclosure letter on behalf of the taxpayers.

IRS reserves the right to make further contacts with the taxpayer to clarify his/her submission.

Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice

We ask for the information on this foreign account or asset statement to carry out the Internal Revenue laws of the United States. Our authority to ask for information is sections 6001, 6109, 7801, 7803 and the regulations thereunder. This information will be used to determine and collect the correct amount of tax under the terms of the offshore voluntary disclosure program. You are not required to apply for participation in the offshore voluntary disclosure program. However, if you choose to apply you are required to provide all the information requested on the offshore voluntary disclosure letter.

You are not required to provide the information requested on a document that is subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act unless the document displays a valid OMB control number. Books or records relating to a document or its instructions must be retained as long as their contents may become material in the administration of any Internal Revenue law. Generally, tax returns and return information are confidential, as required by section 6103. Section 6103, however, allows or requires the Internal Revenue Service to disclose or give this information to others as described in the Internal Revenue Code. For example, we may disclose this information to the Department of Justice to enforce the tax laws, both civil and criminal, and to cities, states, the District of Columbia, and U.S. commonwealths or possessions to carry out their tax laws. We may also disclose this information to other countries under a tax treaty, to federal and state agencies to enforce federal nontax criminal laws, or to federal law enforcement and intelligence agencies to combat terrorism. Failure to provide this information may delay or prevent processing your application. Providing false information may subject you to penalties.

The time needed to complete and submit the foreign account or asset statement will vary depending on individual circumstances. The estimated average time is: 2 hour.

If you have comments concerning the accuracy of this time estimate or suggestions for making the foreign account or asset statement simpler, we would be happy to hear from you. Comments should be sent to the Internal Revenue Service, Attn: IRS Reports Clearance Officer, SE:W:CAR:MP:T:T:SP, Washington, DC 20224.